UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/661,096	09/12/2003	Brian D. Petry	ASTU-006/01US 017622-2017	6582
	7590 01/28/200 DWARD KRONISH LI	EXAMINER		
ATTN: Patent (		WANG, LIANG CHE A		
Suite 1100 777 - 6th Street, NW			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
Washington, Do		2453		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			01/28/2009	PAPER

## Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

## Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
10/661,096	PETRY ET AL.	
Examiner	Art Unit	

			1
	Liangche A. Wang	2453	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED 13 January 2009 FAILS TO PLACE THIS A  1. ☑ The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on application, applicant must timely file one of the following application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appel for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 C	the same day as filing a Notice of A replies: (1) an amendment, affidavited eal (with appeal fee) in compliance v	Appeal. To avoid abar t, or other evidence, w with 37 CFR 41.31; or	which places the r (3) a Request
periods:  a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire la Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (	dvisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in ter than SIX MONTHS from the mailing b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE	date of the final rejection	on.
MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(i Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extunder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL	on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.1: ension and the corresponding amount of chortened statutory period for reply origing than three months after the mailing date	of the fee. The appropria nally set in the final Office	ate extension fee be action; or (2) as
<ol> <li>The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed with AMENDMENTS</li> </ol>	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of the	
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, be a final rejection rejecti	nsideration and/or search (see NOT w); ter form for appeal by materially rec	E below);	
<ol> <li>The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).</li> <li>The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.112</li> <li>Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):</li> <li>Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all non-allowable claim(s).</li> </ol>	<u> </u>		·
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is prove The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:  Claim(s) allowed:  Claim(s) objected to:  Claim(s) rejected: 1-26.  Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:		l be entered and an e	xplanation of
<ul> <li>AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE</li> <li>8. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).</li> </ul>			
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to o showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary	vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appea and was not earlier presented. Se	ıl and/or appellant fail ee 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1	s to provide a ).
<ul> <li>10.  The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER</li> <li>11.  The request for reconsideration has been considered but applicant argues that Orman fails to teach "transfering st standby server will take over the failed server (Col 9 line failed server will now operated at the standby server, the definition of "transfer" are "cause to change ownership" maintained</li> </ul>	t does NOT place the application in tate information", however, Orman os 52-55, 63-66), so any information erefore Orman teaches the limitation, "shift the position or location", "pas	condition for allowan discloses a failover sy which was originally n "transfering state inf	ce because: vstem that a operated in the formation". The
<ul><li>12. ☐ Note the attached Information <i>Disclosure Statement</i>(s). (</li><li>13. ☐ Other:</li></ul>	r 10/56/06) Paper No(s)		

Application No.

/Liangche A. Wang/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 2453

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-303 (Rev. 08-06)

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Part of Paper No. 20090122